



Eximia Journal
(ISSN 2784-0735)

Vol. 7
2023

Gifted Education. The role of ICTs and S.T.E.M., Inquiry - Based Learning.

Chrysovalantis Kefalis
vkefalis@iit.demokritos.gr

Abstract— The aim of this paper is to investigate the relationship between the education of gifted students and S.T.E.M. activities. More specifically, we investigated whether the characteristics of inquiry-based learning (the teaching method that is mainly used in S.T.E.M. education), such as collaboration, exchange of views, complexity, etc., are compatible with the needs and learning preferences of gifted students. Then we explored applications of such activities and their results in gifted education.

According to our results, the characteristics of inquiry - based learning are compatible with the preferences of gifted students, while the results of the application of S.T.E.M. activities in the education of gifted children are effective.

Keywords—S.T.E.M., gifted, inquiry based learning, metacognition, science , robotics

1. Introduction.

S.T.E.M. stands for Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics. In recent years this interdisciplinary teaching method has been gaining more and more attention in the scientific community [1], [2], [3], [4]. According to Li et. al. [4] the fact that the term S.T.E.M. is not very long leads to the fact that it is not very clearly defined. The history of the term begins around the early 90's. The US National Science Foundation (NSF) integrated Engineering and Technology in Science and Mathematics education for k-12 education. At first the acronym used was SMET (science, mathematics, engineering and technology). Later the acronym SMET was replaced by STEM.

According to English [3] and Li [5] STEM education can be seen in two ways. Either as a broader perspective on the teaching of the various components, such as science education, mathematics and engineering, or as interdisciplinary combinations of the individual components. Education in these areas develops students' basic skills (problem solving, critical thinking, etc.). [6]–[9].

Inquiry-based learning seems to be more effective in the education of science and technology within the general population. The new values of societies and educational systems, especially after the 1980s, focused on learning through Inquiry. This shift has changed the way we look at teaching and learning in general. Of course, the idea of Inquiry may not have been new [10][11],but its degree and scope of acceptance is [12][13][14][15][16].

In terms of gifted education, we need to identify whether inquiry-based learning and S.T.E.M. education are effective in this case as well. In other words, we have to look at what are the characteristics of Inquiry-based learning and see whether these characteristics are compatible with gifted students[17][18][19]. Then we need to consider whether S.T.E.M. education is compatible with the educational needs the gifted students though the implementation in gifted education.

2. Inquiry Based Learning and gifted education

According to Trna (2014) [20], a key factor in the development of gifted students is motivation. The behaviors of gifted pupils in the context of education differ from the pupils as a whole and include the following behaviors.

- They are not satisfied with passive memorizing
- They ask more questions
- They are curious and have unusual ideas
- They are independent and often prefer working on their own
- They use information to support their ideas
- They draw conclusions and bring new solutions
- They are able to link seemingly unrelated things into a meaningful unit
- They are creative
- They want to know how things work.

The purpose of their research was to investigate whether Inquiry-based learning motivates gifted students. They used the method of design-based research. Initially, as a first step in the method they used, they investigated the needs of gifted students using a questionnaire, their sample was 15 gifted students aged 15 - 18 years old. Students' responses revealed the characteristics that these students seek from the learning process. These included experimentations, taking measurements, observation, data analysis, problem solving, formulating and contrasting opinions etc. Then, as a second step, they compared the needs of the students with the characteristics of Inquiry-based learning to see which of these characteristics they should retain and which to modify. From this process it became apparent that the components of the Inquiry-based model are compatible with the needs of gifted students, so they created teaching material incorporating compatible features. To evaluate the material produced by the previous process 50 teachers used the material in their classrooms in Czech republic. 45 gifted students attended these classrooms. According to the results of the research, inquiry-based learning is suitable for the education of gifted students because its characteristics are in line with their needs.

2.1. Co-building knowledge and collaboration

Social learning environments play a central role in inquiry-based / constructivist pedagogy [17] [21], co-building knowledge requires social skills. But according to the literature gifted students seem to prefer to work alone.

French et. Al. [22] challenged the hypothesis that gifted children prefer to work alone, examining whether their children's preferences are modified according to the way in which the activity they are asked to perform is expressed. The results of their research showed that although charismatic students often choose to work on their own, this choice was more frequent when the activity they were asked to carry out was traditionally formulated (eg multiple choice questions), while the choice of solitude receded when the activities were open-ended.

In a more recent study, French et. al.[23] investigated whether the choice of gifted students to work alone is related to the form of questions they are asked to complete, their sense of support from the learning environment, and whether this choice is unanimous or influenced by factors such as age and gender. 247 students, from united states, of all ages participated in the survey of which 111 were gifted and 44 were high achieving students. Their results suggest that gifted students do not necessarily prefer to work alone and that their preference largely depends on how much they feel supported in their learning by teachers and fellow students.

2.2. Complexity and expanding of ideas.

Kanevdky [24] studied the preferences in the context of differentiated teaching of 416 gifted students and compared them with the preferences of 230 non gifted students. They used a questionnaire with 110 queries, on a five-point Likert-type scale, in order to determine the preferences for features of learning experiences. According to the results of their research, although there was no differentiation between the two groups in differentiated teaching features such as being given the opportunity to work at their own pace, choose their partner and choose the subject to consider, gifted students have chosen in their vast majority that they prefer to study complex and authentic problems inspired by their daily lives.

Scager et. al. [25] realizing that high-ability students perform better when challenged. Raising the level of difficulty is simply not enough for these students, as they differ qualitatively from the general

population of students (better memory, quick thinking, preference for complexity). They investigated the factors constitute challenge or a lack of it and to specify how challenge is established in the learning environments in six honors courses from all three years in the University College Utrecht. To collect their data they interviewed students from all six courses. By analyzing their responses in terms of three axes found in previous studies to play major role in students' motivation, autonomy, complexity and teacher expectations they have come to the conclusion that although students experienced the highest levels of challenge when three factors occurred simultaneously, complexity in particular was the factor that was most cited by students as the factor that primarily motivated them.

As the literature suggest, gifted students prefer complex problems and expanding of ideas. That's the essence of the inquiry-based learning. In Inquiry-based learning, students are free to deal with complex problems in novel ways. Problem identification, the most creative and interesting phase of the inquiry-based learning process, arises when the learner devises a central question whose answer gives the full explanation[26]. These features of exploratory learning fit gifted students learning style.

2.3. Expertise

How quickly a piece of knowledge is recalled from memory is related to how well organized this knowledge is. How the various information are interconnected but also how they are linked to general categories evoke memory and recall. Experts on S.T.E.M. fields develop certain skills during the procedure of being an expert. Those kinds of skills are similar to Gifted students' skills.

Coleman and Shore [27] compared the cognitive process in physics problem solving between high performance students, average performance students and experts. 21 students took part on the survey, with half of them being the high performance group and the other half being the average performance group. A high school physics teacher, a Phd and a Msc students in physics where the expert group. Five physics problem in increasing order of complexity where presented to each subject, then they were asked to verbalize their thoughts while solving the given problems. Each session was recorded. According to their results high performers high school students perform similar with experts in physics problems. In particular, the high performers in this study accurately monitor and evaluate their own problem-solving processes and immediately refer to relevant information that they previously learned in order to help them solve the problems.

Pelletier and Shore [28] pointed out that some of the characteristics that gifted students seem to develop during the process of acquiring specialized knowledge are

- Adaptability (categorizing or rearranging problems into groups to focus on overall solutions.)
- metacognition (executive function and self-regulation)
- strategic planning (long pauses in the early stages of problem solving)
- preference for complexity and the development of new problems
- greater memory skills (developing automation in key processes and releasing their working memory to address essential issues)

All of these characteristics are characteristics of giftedness that are associated with the development of expertise through inquiry-based learning.

2.4. Self-regulation and flexibility.

The metacognition, the clear awareness and conscious manipulation of ideas, skills and learning processes are important for the successful execution of difficult tasks. Shore [29] conducted a number of studies at all levels of education in order to determine how gifted students thinking style is different from other students. According to their results gifted students develop metacognitive skills more efficiently than ordinary students. These include metacognition, strategy flexibility, strategy planning, the use of hypotheses and the hierarchical and extensive webbing of knowledge about both facts and procedures. The high performance of gifted students is associated with the existence of a larger repertoire of metacognitive skills and strategies as well as their flexible and selective use of those strategies.

Gifted students have a wide range of problem-solving strategies to monitor, evaluate, and correct their thought processes flexibly during problem-solving. These are exactly the skills that inquiry-based learning aims at. Knowledge through inquiry is not acquired through the repetition of problems that are similar to each other (as is the case in the traditional way of teaching). In exploratory-type activities,

students must gain a high level of understanding of the complexity of solutions in order to achieve high performance.

3. Training in STEM related topics and Gifted Education.

Ramli et. al. [30] examined the use of educational robotics in a summer camp program which was designed to encourage young gifted students to pursue science and technology education. 48 students were selected to join the robotics class for a 3 weeks period. They have used lego NXT-G kit in order to build and program various robots suitable for a number of occasions. According to their results the children were able perform an extensive programming skills of NXT-G, building a various types of complicated robots, self confidence in demonstrating and presenting their work as well as interactive social skills between them.

Mullet et. al. [31] conducted a research in order to determine the way gifted students perceive their progress through school education in STEM fields, but also how those experiences have impacted their STEM aspirations and talent development? They used phenomenography research approach to identify the structural framework that describes gifted students perceptions. They conducted in-depth interviews of 7 first year students on the Honors College. Two of them were male and five were female. The interviews who lasted an hour for each participant were recorded and then were transcribed by a professional. They used a 5 stage analytical framework in order determine six major categories of meaning describing these gifted students 'conceptions of their advanced secondary STEM education. According to their analysis the first major category is the Learning Environment in terms of Intellectual Challenge, Epistemological Orientation, and Academic Freedom. The second category is Institutional Supports, in terms of Organizational Obstacles, Diversity and Academic and career counseling. The third is Social support in terms of both students grouping and Teacher support. The fourth is Teacher qualities such ass interaction style, teacher competence and awareness of students' needs. Fifth category is active involvement in learning STEM and last Self-Perceptions of STEM Capability. As far as the second research objective is concerned all students took AP STEM courses and passed the exams However, only three students committed to majors in STEM, the other four students, all female, chose majors in other fields.

Jagust et. al. [32] examined the role of a series of educational robotics courses in skills development for gifted students. 15 gifted students aged 8 to 10 years old took part in the courses. Typically, students worked in pairs, cooperating or collaborating on a solution to the given task. The courses were designed as "learning through experiment" activities. The activities that the students were called to complete were activities of free inquiry. As the activity requirements grew, more complex concepts from sciences, programing and mathematics were introduced. According to their findings, through these courses gifted students tent to be more creatively productive, as they often suggested solutions to problems were authentic and highly creative. They are focused and task oriented "speed learners", since they easily understand the concepts of science and mathematics. Also gifted students tent to be more motivated and independent and like to collaborate if they share the same specific interests and domain specific skills.

Miller et. al. [33], recognizing that there is a link between different areas of science and spatial skills, and the fact that students' increased spatial skills are associated with increased interest and success in science, tried to measure the impact that spatial education would have on freshman gifted students. A total of 77 first year students (28 women, 49 men) took part in the research. Half of them were assigned to a training condition of spatial training, six hourly sessions once a week for 6 weeks. The other half was the control group which did not participate in any form of training. All students took part in a test measuring their spatial skills prior training, one week after the last training session and 8 months after training. According to their results spatial training did improve spatial skills of the students immediate after the training, while also reducing gender differences in performance of spatial skill tests. The training group performed significantly better in introductory physics courses but there was no difference in the performance for other S.T.E.M. related courses. Lastly according to the posttests after 8 to 10 months there was no difference in performance between the two groups suggesting that spatial training is effective when is continues.

Based on the view that inquiry-based activities in STEM fields have a positive effect on young gifted students, Robinson et. al. [34] developed a series of interventions in the form of problem-based units and trained 70 elementary teachers in the application of this educational material. The basic idea was that teacher training was necessary to enable the intervention to be implemented correctly and then to measure its impact on gifted students. During the design of the educational material, emphasis was placed on developing problem-solving skills and also scientific research and experimental design skills. Emphasis was also placed on the development of activities focusing on the interdisciplinary approach of the issues. The intervention lasted a total of two years. 87 gifted students participated in the first year and 67 students in the second year in the intervention group, while 70 gifted students in the first year and 60 students in the second constituted the control group. According to their results students' performance in the treatment group was significantly improved in all three areas measured, which were science process skills, science content knowledge and science concept knowledge.

4. Conclusion

Our research shows that the characteristics of inquiry based learning are effective for gifted students. Gifted students like to work together when they feel they are in a supportive environment, and they get excited when the activities involved are complex. Through inquiry based activities they further develop their metacognitive skills while giving them the opportunity to develop all these skills that make them experts in the field they are training (adptivity, strategic planning etc.).

In addition, the literature shows that the application of activities in S.T.E.M. related topics such as robotics is particularly effective in educating gifted children as it enables them to approach learning in an interdisciplinary and holistic way, which is compatible with the learning preferences of those children.

Finally we underline the importance of the digital technologies in education domain and gifted education that is very productive and successful, facilitates and improves the assessment, the intervention and the educational procedures via Mobiles which brings educational activities everywhere [41-55], various ICTs applications which are the core supporters of education [56-111], AI, STEM & ROBOTICS which raise educational procedures into new levers of performance [112-135], and games which transforms the education in a very friendly and enjoyable interaction [136-153]. Additionally the enhancement and combination of ICTs with theories and models of metacognition, mindfulness, meditation and emotional intelligence cultivation [154-207] as well as with environmental factors and nutrition [35-40], accelerates and improves more over the educational practices and results, especially in the gifted education domain and its practices like assessment and intervention.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Mark Sanders, "STEM,STEMEducation,STEMmania," Technol. Teach., pp. 20–27, 2009.
- [2] D. L. Zeidler, "STEM education: A deficit framework for the twenty first century? A sociocultural socioscientific response," Cult. Stud. Sci. Educ., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 11–26, 2016.
- [3] L. D. English, "STEM education K-12: perspectives on integration," Int. J. STEM Educ., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2016.
- [4] Y. Li, K. Wang, Y. Xiao, and J. E. Froyd, "Research and trends in STEM education : a systematic review of journal publications," vol. 6, 2020.
- [5] Y. Li, "International Journal of STEM Education - a platform to promote STEM education and research worldwide," Int. J. STEM Educ., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–2, 2014.
- [6] J. Morrison, "TIES STEM education monograph series, attributes of STEM education." Baltimore, MD: TIES, 2006.
- [7] C. N. Toulmin and M. Groome, "Building a science, technology, engineering, and math agenda.," Natl. Governors Assoc., 2007.
- [8] E. Council, "National STEM school education strategy, 2016–2026," Educ. Council., 2015.
- [9] R. W. Bybee, "Advancing STEM Education: A 2020 Vision," Technol. Eng. Teach., vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 30–35, 2010.
- [10] J. S. Bruner, *The process of education*. Harvard University Press, 1960.

- [11] J. Dewey, "Experience and education," in *The Educational Forum*, 1986, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 241–252.
- [12] N. R. C. C. on U. S. Education, *Science teacher preparation in an era of standards-based reform*. National Academy Press, 1997.
- [13] N. C. of T. of M. C. on S. for S. Mathematics, *Curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics*. Natl Council of Teachers of, 1989.
- [14] K. J. Graham and F. Fennell, "Principles and standards for school mathematics and teacher education: Preparing and empowering teachers," *Sch. Sci. Math.*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 319–327, 2001.
- [15] N. S. F. (US). D. of Elementary and I. Education, *Inquiry: Thoughts, Views, and Strategies for the K-5 Classroom*, vol. 2. National Science Foundation, 1999.
- [16] K. Kay and V. Greenhill, "Twenty-first century students need 21st century skills," in *Bringing schools into the 21st century*, Springer, 2011, pp. 41–65.
- [17] K. J. MacLeod, "Inquiry in Education, Volume I: The Conceptual Foundations for Research as a Curricular Imperative/Inquiry in Education, Volume II: Overcoming Barriers to Successful Implementation," *Can. J. Educ.*, vol. 33, no. 1, p. 271, 2010.
- [18] C. Clark and B. M. Shore, *Educating students with high ability*. Unesco, 2004.
- [19] A. Robinson, B. M. Shore, and D. L. Enersen, *Best practices in gifted education: An evidence-based guide*. Sourcebooks, Inc., 2006.
- [20] T. Josef, "IBSE and Gifted Students," *Sci. Educ. Int.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 19–28, 2014.
- [21] L. S. Vygotsky, *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard university press, 1980.
- [22] L. R. French and B. M. Shore, "A reconsideration of the widely held conviction that gifted students prefer to work alone," *Routledge Int. companion to Gift. Educ.*, pp. 176–182, 2009.
- [23] L. R. French, C. L. Walker, and B. M. Shore, "Do gifted students really prefer to work alone?," *Roeper Rev.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 145–159, 2011.
- [24] L. Kanevsky, "Differential differentiation: What types of differentiation do students want?," *Gift. Child Q.*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 279–299, 2011.
- [25] K. Scager, S. F. Akkerman, A. Pilot, and T. Wubbels, "How to persuade honors students to go the extra mile: Creating a challenging learning environment," *High Abil. Stud.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 115–134, 2013.
- [26] M. Lorentson, Y. J. Oh, and F. LaBanca, "STEM21 Digital Academy Fidelity of Implementation: Valuation and Assessment of Program Components and Implementation," in *Implementation Fidelity in Education Research*, Routledge, 2014, pp. 67–99.
- [27] E. B. Coleman and B. Shore, "Problem-solving processes of high and average performers in physics," *J. Educ. Gift.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 366–379, 1991.
- [28] S. Pelletier and B. M. Shore, "The gifted learner, the novice, and the expert: Sharpening emerging views of giftedness," *Creat. Intell. Towar. Theor. Integr.*, no. March, pp. 237–281, 2003.
- [29] B. M. Shore, "Metacognition and flexibility: Qualitative differences in how gifted children think," *Talent. unfolding Cogn. Dev.*, no. March, pp. 167–187, 2004.
- [30] R. Ramli, M. Md. Yunus, and N. M. Ishak, "Robotic teaching for Malaysian gifted enrichment program," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. January, pp. 2528–2532, 2011.
- [31] D. R. Mullet, T. Kettler, and A. M. Sabatini, "Gifted Students' Conceptions of Their High School STEM Education," *J. Educ. Gift.*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 60–92, 2018.
- [32] T. Jagust, J. Cvetkovic-Lay, A. S. Krzic, and D. Sersic, "Using robotics to foster creativity in early gifted education," in *International Conference on Robotics and Education RiE 2017*, 2017, pp. 126–131.
- [33] D. I. Miller and D. F. Halpern, "Can spatial training improve long-term outcomes for gifted STEM undergraduates?," *Learn. Individ. Differ.*, vol. 26, pp. 141–152, 2013.
- [34] A. Robinson, D. Dailey, G. Hughes, and A. Cotabish, "The Effects of a Science-Focused STEM Intervention on Gifted Elementary Students' Science Knowledge and Skills," *J. Adv. Acad.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 189–213, 2014.
- [35] Stavridou Th., Driga, A.M., Drigas, A.S., 2021. Blood Markers in Detection of Autism, *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering Science & IT (iJES)* 9(2):79-86.
- [36] Zavitsanou, A., & Drigas, A. (2021). Nutrition in mental and physical health. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 23, 67.

- [37] Driga, A.M., Drigas, A.S. 2019 “Climate Change 101: How Everyday Activities Contribute to the Ever-Growing Issue”, *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT*, vol. 7(1), pp. 22-31. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v7i1.10031>
- [38] Driga, A.M., and Drigas, A.S. 2019 “ADHD in the Early Years: Pre-Natal and Early Causes and Alternative Ways of Dealing.” *International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (IJOE)*, vol. 15, no. 13, p. 95., doi:10.3991/ijoe.v15i13.11203
- [39] A Fotoglou, I Moraiti, A Diamantis, V Stergios, Z Gavriilidou, A Drigas 2022 Nutritious Diet, Physical Activity and Mobiles. *The Game Changers of ADHD BioChemMed* 3 (2), 87-106
- [40] V Tsopanidou, A Drigas 2022 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AND THEIR EFFECT ON THE OCCURRENCE OF AUTISM *BioChemMed* 3 (1)
- [41] Vlachou J. and Drigas, A. S., 2017 “Mobile technology for students and adults with Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD),” *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, vol. 11(1), pp. 4-17,
- [42] Papoutsis C., Drigas, A. S., and C. Skianis, 2018 “Mobile Applications to Improve Emotional Intelligence in Autism – A Review,” *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol. (iJIM)*; Vol 12, No 6,
- [43] Karabatzaki, Z., Stathopoulou, A., Kokkalia, G., Dimitriou, E., Loukeri, P., Economou A., & Drigas, A. (2018). Mobile Application Tools for Students in Secondary Education. An Evaluation Study. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 12(2), 142-161
- [44] Stathopoulou A., Loukeris D., Karabatzaki Z., Politi E., Salapata Y., and Drigas, A. S., 2020 “Evaluation of Mobile Apps Effectiveness in Children with Autism Social Training via Digital Social Stories,” *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol. (iJIM)*; Vol 14, No 03,
- [45] Stathopoulou, et al 2018, Mobile assessment procedures for mental health and literacy skills in education. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 12(3), 21-37,
- [46] Drigas, A., Kokkalia, G. & Lytras, M. D. (2015). Mobile and Multimedia Learning in Preschool Education. *J. Mobile Multimedia*, 11(1-2), 119–133.
- [47] Kokkalia G, AS Drigas, A Economou 2016 Mobile learning for preschool education. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies* 10 (4)
- [48] Stathopoulou A, Karabatzaki Z, Tsiros D, Katsantoni S, Drigas A 2019 Mobile apps the educational solution for autistic students in secondary education *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*. 2019, Vol. 13 Issue 2, p89-101
- [49] Drigas A, DE Dede, S Dedes 2020 Mobile and other applications for mental imagery to improve learning disabilities and mental health *International Journal of Computer Science Issues (IJCSI)* 17 (4), 18-23
- [50] Alexopoulou A, Batsou A, Drigas A, 2020 Mobiles and cognition: The associations between mobile technology and cognitive flexibility *iJIM* 14(3) 146-156
- [51] M Anagnostou, A Drigas 2022 Mobile Applications for stress management *Scientific Electronic Archives* 15 (2)
- [52] P Leliopoulos, A Drigas 2022 The evolution of wireless mobile networks and the future 5G mobile technology for sustainability. *Technium Sustainability*, 2(4), 28–43. 2 (4), 28-43
- [53] A Doulou, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Mobile applications as intervention tools for children with ADHD for a sustainable education. *Technium Sustainability*, 2(4), 44–62. 2 (4), 44-62
- [54] V Tsakou, A Drigas 2022 Early Detection of Preschool Children with ADHD and the role of mobile Apps and AI *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 30, 127-137
- [55] E Karagianni, A Drigas 2022 Language Development and Mobile Apps for Down Syndrome Children *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 34, 193-213
- [56] Drigas, A. S., & Ioannidou, R. E. (2011, September). ICTs in special education: A review. In *World Summit on Knowledge Society* (pp. 357-364). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [57] Drigas, A. S., J.Vrettaras, L.Stavrou, D.Kouremenos, 2004. E-learning Environment for Deaf people in the E-Commerce and New Technologies Sector, *WSEAS Transactions on Information Science and Applications*, Issue 5, Volume 1, November
- [58] Drigas, A.S., Vrettaras, J. and Kouremenos, D. (2004) ‘Teleeducation and e-learning services for teaching English as a second language to deaf people, whose first language is the sign language’, *WSEAS Transactions on Information Science and Applications*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp.834–842.

- [59] Drigas, A., Koukianakis, L., Papagerasimou, Y., 2011, Towards an ICT-based psychology: Epsychology, Computers in Human Behavior, 27:1416–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2010.07.045>
- [60] Charami, F., & Drigas, A. (2014). ICTs in English Learning and Teaching. *International Journal of Engineering and Science*. Vol. 2(4):4-10. DOI: 10.3991/ijes.v2i4.4016
- [61] Drigas A.S., Kouremenos D (2005) An e-learning system for the deaf people. In: WSEAS transaction on advances in engineering education, vol 2, issue 1, pp 20–24
- [62] Drigas A., Pappas M, and Lytras M., 2016. “Emerging technologies for ict based education for dyscalculia: Implications for computer engineering education,” *International Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 1604–1610,
- [63] Drigas, A. & Kokkalia, G. 2017. ICTs and Special Education in Kindergarten. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning* 9 (4), 35–42.
- [64] Drigas, A. S., Stavridis, G., & Koukianakis, L. (2004). A Modular Environment for E-learning and E-psychology Applications. *WSEAS Transactions on Computers*, 3(6), 2062-2067..
- [65] Drigas, A., Leliopoulos, P.: Business to consumer (B2C) e-commerce decade evolution. *Int. J. Knowl. Soc. Res. (IJKSR)* 4(4), 1–10 (2013)
- [66] Pappas M, Drigas A, Papagerasimou Y, Dimitriou H, Katsanou N, Papakonstantinou S, et al. 2018; Female Entrepreneurship and Employability in the Digital Era: The Case of Greece. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*. 4(2): 15.
- [67] Papanastasiou G., Drigas, A. S., Skianis Ch., M. Lytras & E. Papanastasiou, 2018. “Patient-Centric ICTs based Healthcare for students with learning, physical and/or sensory disabilities,” *Telemat Inform*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 654–664, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.09.002>
- [68] Drigas, A., & Kontopoulou, M. T. L. (2016). ICTs based Physics Learning. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (iJEP)*, 6(3), 53-59. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v6i3.53-59>
- [69] Papanastasiou, G., Drigas, A., Skianis, C., and Lytras, M. (2020). Brain computer interface based applications for training and rehabilitation of students with neurodevelopmental disorders. A literature review. *Heliyon* 6:e04250. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04250
- [70] Drigas, A. S., John Vrettaros, and Dimitris Kouremenos, 2005. “An e-learning management system for the deaf people,” *AIKED '05: Proceedings of the Fourth WSEAS International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Knowledge Engineering Data Bases*, article number 28.
- [71] Pappas, M., Demertzi, E., Papagerasimou, Y., Koukianakis, L., Kouremenos, D., Loukidis, I. and Drigas, A. 2018. E-Learning for deaf adults from a user-centered perspective. *Education Sciences* 8(4)206:
- [72] Pappas, M., Eleftheria Demertzi, Yannis Papagerasimou, Lefteris Koukianakis, Nikitas Voukelatos, and Drigas, A. S., 2019. Cognitive Based E-Learning Design for Older Adults. *Social Sciences* 8, 1 (Jan. 2019), 6. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci801000>
- [73] Drigas, A. S., Lefteris Koukianakis 2009: Government online: An e-government platform to improve public administration operations and services delivery to the citizen. *WSKS (1)*, volume 5736 de *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 523–532. Springer,
- [74] Theodorou, P.; Drigas, A. 2017, ICTs and Music in Generic Learning Disabilities. *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.* 12(4), 101–110
- [75] Pappas, M.A., & Drigas, A.S. (2015). ICT based screening tools and etiology of dyscalculia. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, (5)3, 61-66.
- [76] Drigas, A., & Kostas, I. (2014). On Line and other ICTs Applications for teaching math in Special Education. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, 2(4), pp-46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v2i4.4204>
- [77] Alexopoulou, A, Batsou, A, Drigas, A. (2019). Resilience and academic underachievement in gifted students: causes, consequences and strategic methods of prevention and intervention. *International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (iJOE)*, vol. 15, no. 14, pp. 78.
- [78] Drigas, A. & Ioannidou, R. E. (2013). Special education and ICT's. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning* 8(2), 41– 47.
- [79] Drigas, A., & Papanastasiou, G. (2014). Interactive White Boards in Preschool and Primary Education. *International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (iJOE)*, 10(4), 46–51. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v10i4.3754>

- [80] Drigas, A. S. and Politi-Georgousi, S. (2019). Icts as a distinct detection approach for dyslexia screening: A contemporary view. *International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (iJOE)*, 15(13):46–60.
- [81] Lizeta N. Bakola, Nikolaos D. Rizos, Drigas, A. S., 2019 “ICTs for Emotional and Social Skills Development for Children with ADHD and ASD Co-existence” *International Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, 14(5), 122-131.
- [82] Kontostavlou, E.Z., & Drigas, A.S. (2019). The Use of Information and Communications Technology (ICT) in Gifted Students. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science and IT*, 7(2), 60-67. doi:10.3991/ijes.v7i2.10815
- [83] Drigas, A. S., and Vlachou J. A., 2016. “Information and communication technologies (ICTs) and autistic spectrum disorders (ASD),” *Int. J. Recent Contrib. Eng. Sci. IT (iJES)*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 4, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v4i1.5352>
- [84] Drigas, A. S., Koukianakis, L, Papagerasimou, Y. (2006) “An elearning environment for nontraditional students with sight disabilities.”, *Frontiers in Education Conference, 36th Annual. IEEE*, p. 23-27.
- [85] Drigas A., and Koukianakis L. 2006 An open distance learning e-system to support SMEs e-enterprising. In proceeding of 5th WSEAS Internationalconference on Artificial intelligence, knowledge engineering, data bases (AIKED 2006). Spain
- [86] Drigas A, Petrova A 2014 ICTs in speech and language therapy *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (iJEP)* 4 (1), 49-54
- [87] Bravou V, Oikonomidou D, Drigas A, 2022 Applications of Virtual Reality for Autism Inclusion. A review *Retos* 45, 779-785
- [88] Chaidi I, Drigas A, 2022 "Parents' views Questionnaire for the education of emotions in Autism Spectrum Disorder" in a Greek context and the role of ICTs *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 33, 73-91
- [89] Chaidi I, Drigas A, 2020 Parents' Involvement in the Education of their Children with Autism: Related Research and its Results *International Journal Of Emerging Technologies In Learning (Ijet)* 15 (14)
- [90] M Karyotaki, L Bakola, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Women’s Leadership via Digital Technology and Entrepreneurship in business and society *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 28(1), 246–252.
- [91] Bravou V, Drigas A, 2019 A contemporary view on online and web tools for students with sensory & learning disabilities *iJOE* 15(12) 97
- [92] Drigas A, Vrettaros J, Tagoulis A, Kouremenos D, 2010 Teaching a foreign language to deaf people via vodcasting & web 2.0 tools *World Summit on Knowledge Society*, 514-521
- [93] Chaidi I, Drigas A, C Karagiannidis 2021 ICT in special education *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 23, 187
- [94] L Bakola, I Chaidi, A Drigas, C Skianis, C Karagiannidis 2022 Women with Special Educational Needs. Policies & ICT for Integration & Equality *Technium Social Sciences Journal*
- [95] M Tsakiridou, A Drigas 2022 A REVIEW OF STRESS ON STUDENTS WITH ADHD. THE ROLE OF ICTS & MENDAL INTERVENTIONS TO IMPROVE PRODUCTIVITY *Technium Sustainability* 2 (5), 39-57
- [96] H Koutsonika, A Drigas 2022 High functioning ASD profile adult employees in global labour markets. ICT supported employment *Technium Sustainability* 2 (4), 17-27
- [97] I Chaidi, A Drigas 2022 Key to Behavioral Observation of Developmental Disorders by teachers in Greek School and the role of ICTs. *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 34, 110-125
- [98] T Vouglanis, AM Driga, A Drigas 2022 Physical and mental exercise to create new congenial neurons, to increase intelligence and the role of ICTs. *Technium BioChemMed* 3 (3), 21-36
- [99] I Chaidi, A Drigas 2022 “Digital Learning: Differentiated Teaching Models using e-Twinning I COMMUNICATE WITH MY NEIGHBOR THROUGH CULTURE AND TRADITION: e - Twinning Project” *Technium Education and Humanities* 2 (3), 59-77
- [100] I Moraiti, A Fotoglou, K Dona, A Katsimperi, K Tsionakas, Z Karampatzaki, ... 2022 Assitive Technology and Internet of Things for people with ADHD *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 32, 204-222
- [101] A Fotoglou, I Moraiti, K Dona, A Katsimperi, K Tsionakas, Z Karabatzaki, ... 2022 IoT Applications help people with Autism *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 115-130

- [102] E Karagianni, A Drigas 2022 The contribution of ICTs to the Down Syndrome Children's Language and Cognitive Development *Technium Education and Humanities* 2 (3), 19-40
- [103] M Karyotaki, A Drigas 2022 The impact of digital technologies and social networks in young women and young mother's entrepreneurship and employability *Technium Sustainability* 2 (5), 79-91
- [104] C Dimitropoulos, C Katsigera-Svoronou, A Rizou, SM Hantziara, A Hasioti, ... 2022 The Use of ICTs by Children and Young People with Mental Retardation and Syndrome Down in Domains of their Daily Life *Sustainability* 2 (5), 16-38
- [105] M Tsakiridou, A Drigas 2022 CAUSES OF STRESS ON CHILDREN WITH ADHD AND THE ROLE OF ICTS *Technium BioChemMed* 3 (3), 12-20
- [106] T Vouglanis, A Drigas 2022 The internet addiction and the impact on the cognitive, psychological and social side of people's personality with disabilities *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 35 (1), 93-110
- [107] TM Hasioti, A Drigas, D Loukeris, Z Gavriilidou 2022 Asperger Syndrome and Assistive Technologies *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 35 (1), 285-295
- [108] P Vasilakou, S Mineiko, TM Hasioti, Z Gavriilidou, A Drigas 2022 The accessibility of visually impaired people to museums and art through ICTs *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 35 (1), 263-284
- [109] T Vouglanis, A Drigas 2022 The positive impact of Internet on the cognitive, psychological and social side of people's personality with disabilities *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 35 (1), 29-42.
- [110] E Gkeka, A Drigas 2022 Ict's and Dysgraphia *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 31, 228-240
- [111] A Doulou, A Drigas 2022 Behavioral Problems and ICTs: Research and analysis in schools in Athens *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 29, 181-197
- [112] Drigas, A. S., Rodi-Eleni Ioannidou, 2013 A Review on Artificial Intelligence in Special Education, Information Systems, Elearning, and Knowledge Management *Research Communications in Computer and Information Science* Volume 278, pp 385-391, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35879-1_46
- [113] Drigas, A., Vrettaros, J. (2004): An Intelligent Tool for Building e-Learning Content-Material Using Natural Language in Digital Libraries. *WSEAS Transactions on Information Science and Applications* 5(1) 1197-1205
- [114] Drigas, A.S., Vrettaros, J., Koukianakis, L.G. and Glentzes, J.G. (2005). A Virtual Lab and e-learning system for renewable energy sources. *Int. Conf. on Educational Tech.*
- [115] Drigas AS, Argyri K, Vrettaros J (2009) Decade review (1999-2009): artificial intelligence techniques in student modeling. In: *World Summit on Knowledge Society*. Springer, pp 552-564
- [116] Vrettaros, J., Tagoulis, A., Giannopoulou, N., & Drigas, A. (2009). An empirical study on the use of Web 2.0 by Greek adult instructors in educational procedures. *World Summit on Knowledge System (WSKS)*, 49, 164-170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04757-2_18
- [117] Drigas, A., Dourou, A. (2013). A Review on ICTs, E-Learning and Artificial Intelligence for Dyslexic's Assistance. *iJet*, 8(4), 63-67.
- [118] Anagnostopoulou, P., Alexandropoulou, V., Lorentzou, G., Lykothanasi, A., Ntaountaki, P., & Drigas, A. (2020). Artificial intelligence in autism assessment. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(6), 95-107. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i06.11231>
- [119] Pappas, M., & Drigas, A. (2016). Incorporation of artificial intelligence tutoring techniques in mathematics. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 6(4), 12-16. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v6i4.6063>
- [120] Lytra N, Drigas A 2021 STEAM education-metacognition-Specific Learning Disabilities *Scientific Electronic Archives* 14 (10)
- [121] Mitsea E, Lytra N, A Akrivopoulou, A Drigas 2020 Metacognition, Mindfulness and Robots for Autism Inclusion. *Int. J. Recent Contributions Eng. Sci. IT* 8 (2), 4-20
- [122] Chaidi E, Kefalis C, Y Papagerasimou, A Drigas 2021 Educational robotics in Primary Education. A case in Greece. *Research, Society and Development* 10 (9), e17110916371-e17110916371
- [123] S Stavridis, D Papageorgiou, Z Doulgeri 2017 Dynamical system based robotic motion generation with obstacle avoidance, *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 2 (2), 712-718
- [124] T Kastritsi, D Papageorgiou, I Sarantopoulos, S Stavridis, Z Doulgeri, 2019 Guaranteed active constraints enforcement on point cloud-approximated regions for surgical applications 2019 *International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 8346-8352

- [125] S Stavridis, Z Doulgeri 2018 Bimanual assembly of two parts with relative motion generation and task related optimization 2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems ...
- [126] S Stavridis, P Falco, Z Doulgeri 2020 Pick-and-place in dynamic environments with a mobile dual-arm robot equipped with distributed distance sensors IEEE-RAS 20th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)
- [127] D Papageorgiou, S Stavridis, C Papakonstantinou, Z Doulgeri 2021 Task geometry aware assistance for kinesthetic teaching of redundant robots IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems ...
- [128] T Kastritsi, I Sarantopoulos, S Stavridis, D Papageorgiou, Z Doulgeri Manipulation of a Whole Surgical Tool Within Safe Regions Utilizing Barrier Artificial Potentials Mediterranean Conference on Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing ...
- [129] S Stavridis, D Papageorgiou, L Droukas, Z Doulgeri 2022 Bimanual crop manipulation for human-inspired robotic harvesting arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.06074
- [130] Ntaountaki P, et al 2019 Robotics in Autism Intervention. *Int. J. Recent Contributions Eng. Sci. IT* 7 (4), 4-17
- [131] Demertzi E, Voukelatos N, Papagerasimou Y, Drigas A, 2018 Online learning facilities to support coding and robotics courses for youth *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (iJEP)* 8 (3), 69-80
- [132] A Sideraki, A Drigas Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Autism Technium *Social Sciences Journal* 26, 262-277
- [133] M Karyotaki, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Chatbots as Cognitive, Educational, Advisory & Coaching Systems Technium *Social Sciences Journal* 30, 109-126
- [134] E Karagianni, A Drigas 2022 The STEM Education of Down Syndrome Children in Algorithmic and Computation Thinking for a sustainable life *Technium Sustainability* 2 (5), 58-78
- [135] S Kouloumenta, A Drigas 2022 The use of Robotics for the Development of Social Skills for Children with ASD *Technium Education and Humanities* 2 (4), 51-62
- [136] Chaidi I, Drigas A 2022 Digital games & special education *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 34, 214-236
- [137] Papanastasiou, G. P., Drigas, A. S., & Skianis, C. (2017). Serious games in preschool and primary education: Benefits and impacts on curriculum course syllabus. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 12(1), 44–56. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v12i01.6065>
- [138] Kokkalia, G., Drigas, A., Economou, A., Roussos, P., & Choli, S. (2017). The use of serious games in preschool education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 12(11), 15-27. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v12i11.6991>
- [139] Drigas, A. S., and Pappas M.A. 2015 "On line and other Game-Based Learning for Mathematics." *International Journal of Online Engineering (iJOE)* (11)4, 62-67, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v11i4.4742>
- [140] Papanastasiou, G., Drigas, A., Skianis, C., & Lytras, M. D. (2017). Serious games in K-12 education: Benefits and impacts on students with attention, memory and developmental disabilities. *Program*, 51(4), 424-440. <https://doi.org/10.1108/prog-02-2016-0020>
- [141] Drigas, A. S., & Kokkalia, G. K. (2014). ICTs in Kindergarten. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v9i2.3278>
- [142] Doulou A, Drigas A 2022 Electronic, VR & Augmented Reality Games for Intervention in ADHD *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 28, 159.
- [143] Kokkalia, G., Drigas, A., & Economou, A. (2016). The role of games in special preschool education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 11(12), 30-35.
- [144] Kefalis C, Kontostavrou EZ, Drigas A, 2020 The Effects of Video Games in Memory and Attention. *Int. J. Eng. Pedagog.* 10 (1), 51-61
- [145] I Chaidi, A Drigas 2022 Digital games & special education *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 34, 214-236
- [146] G Papanastasiou, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Serious Games in pre-K and K-6 education. *Technium Education and Humanities* 2 (3), 1-18
- [147] V Bravou, A Drigas 2021 BCI-based games and ADHD Research, *Society and Development* 10 (4), e52410413942-e52410413942

- [148] I Chaidi, A Drigas 2022 Questionnaire of parents' opinions on digital games in the education of children with autism spectrum disorder in a Greek context *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 34, 248-270
- [149] E Karagianni, A Drigas 2022 Digital Games for Down Syndrome Children's Language and Cognitive Development *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 35 (1), 162-185
- [150] C Gatsakou, N Bardis, A Drigas 2022 The usage of RPGS as an interdisciplinary method of teaching dyslexic students *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 27, 207-216
- [151] C Gatsakou, N Bardis, A Drigas 2021 Role playing vs RPGs as teaching strategies in educational procedure *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 26, 186-193
- [152] N Doukas, N Bardis, A Drigas 2022 A Strategy Game Using Adaptive Agents and Reinforcement Learning *Technium Education and Humanities* 2 (2), 33-46
- [153] G Papanastasiou, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Serious Games: How do they impact special education needs children *Technium Education and Humanities* 2 (3), 41-58
- [154] Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2020). The 8 Pillars of Metacognition. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 15(21), 162-178. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i21.14907>
- [155] Drigas, A., & Papoutsi, C. (2019). Emotional intelligence as an important asset for HR in organizations: Leaders and employees. *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijac.v12i1.9637>
- [156] Drigas, A. S., and M. Pappas, 2017. "The Consciousness-Intelligence-Knowledge Pyramid: An 8x8 Layer Model," *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, vol. 5, no.3, pp 14-25, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v5i3.7680>
- [157] Drigas A, Karyotaki M (2017) Attentional control and other executive functions. *Int J Emerg Technol Learn iJET* 12(03):219-233
- [158] Drigas A, Karyotaki M 2014. Learning Tools and Application for Cognitive Improvement. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 4(3): 71-77. From (Retrieved on 13 May 2016)
- [159] Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2021). 8 Pillars X 8 Layers Model of Metacognition: Educational Strategies, Exercises & Trainings. *International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering*, 17(8). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v17i08.23563>
- [160] Drigas A., Papoutsi C. (2020). The Need for Emotional Intelligence Training Education in Critical and Stressful Situations: The Case of COVID-19. *Int. J. Recent Contrib. Eng. Sci. IT* 8(3), 20-35. [10.3991/ijes.v8i3.17235](https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v8i3.17235)
- [161] Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2020). The Triangle of Spiritual Intelligence, Metacognition and Consciousness. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, 8(1), 4-23. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v8i1.12503>
- [162] Kokkalia, G., Drigas, A. Economou, A., & Roussos, P. (2019). School readiness from kindergarten to primary school. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(11), 4-18.
- [163] Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2021). Metacognition, stress-relaxation balance & related hormones. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, 9(1), 4-16. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v9i1.19623>
- [164] Pappas M, Drigas A. 2019; Computerized Training for Neuroplasticity and Cognitive Improvement. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*.9(4):50-62
- [165] Papoutsi, C. and Drigas, A. (2017) Empathy and Mobile Applications. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies* 11(3). 57. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v11i3.6385>
- [166] Papoutsi, C. & Drigas, A. (2016). Games for Empathy for Social Impact. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy* 6(4), 36-40.
- [167] Karyotaki, M., & Drigas, A. (2015). Online and other ICT Applications for Cognitive Training and Assessment. *International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering*. 11(2), 36-42.
- [168] Papoutsi, C., Drigas, A., & Skianis, C. (2019). Emotional intelligence as an important asset for HR in organizations: Attitudes and working variables. *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning*, 12(2), 21-35. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijac.v12i2.9620>
- [169] Chaidi I. Drigas, A. S., 2020. "Autism, Expression, and Understanding of Emotions: Literature Review," *Int. J. Online Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 16, no. 02, pp. 94-111, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v16i02.11991>

- [170] Drigas, A. S., & Karyotaki, M. (2019). A Layered Model of Human Consciousness. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, 7(3), 41- 50. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v7i3.11117>
- [171] Drigas, A. S., Karyotaki, M., & Skianis, C. (2018). An Integrated Approach to Neuro-development, Neuroplasticity and Cognitive Improvement. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, 6(3), 4-18.
- [172] Karyotaki M. and Drigas, A. S., 2016. "Latest trends in problem solving assessment," *International Journal of Recent contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES)*, vol. 4, no. 2, 4-10.
- [173] Mitsea E., Drigas, A. S., and Mantas P., 2021. Soft Skills & Metacognition as Inclusion Amplifiers in the 21st Century," *Int. J. Online Biomed. Eng. IJOE*, vol. 17, no. 04, Art. no. 04, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v17i04.20567>
- [174] Angelopoulou, E. Drigas, A. (2021). Working Memory, Attention and their Relationship: A theoretical Overview. *Research. Society and Development*, 10(5), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v10i5.15288>
- [175] Tourimpampa, A., Drigas, A., Economou, A., & Roussos, P. (2018). Perception and text comprehension. It's a matter of perception! *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*. 13(7)
- [176] Drigas A, Mitsea E 2020 A metacognition based 8 pillars mindfulness model and training strategies. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT* 8(4), 4-17.
- [177] Papoutsis C, Drigas A, C Skianis 2021 Virtual and augmented reality for developing emotional intelligence skills *Int. J. Recent Contrib. Eng. Sci. IT (IJES)* 9 (3), 35-53
- [178] Kapsi S, Katsantoni S, Drigas A 2020 The Role of Sleep and Impact on Brain and Learning. *Int. J. Recent Contributions Eng. Sci. IT* 8 (3), 59-68
- [179] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C 2021 The Role of Clinical Hypnosis and VR in Special Education *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering Science & IT* 9(4), 4-17.
- [180] V Galitskaya, A Drigas 2021 The importance of working memory in children with Dyscalculia and Ageometria *Scientific Electronic Archives* 14 (10)
- [181] Chaidi I, Drigas A 2020 Parents' Involvement in the Education of their Children with Autism: Related Research and its Results *International Journal Of Emerging Technologies In Learning (Ijet)* 15 (14), 194-203.
- [182] Drigas A, Mitsea E 2021 Neuro-Linguistic Programming & VR via the 8 Pillars of Metacognition X 8 Layers of Consciousness X 8 Intelligences *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 26, 159
- [183] Drigas A, Mitsea E 2022 Conscious Breathing: a Powerful Tool for Physical & Neuropsychological Regulation. The role of Mobile Apps *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 28, 135-158
- [184] Drigas A, Mitsea E, C Skianis 2022 Clinical Hypnosis & VR, Subconscious Restructuring-Brain Rewiring & the Entanglement with the 8 Pillars of Metacognition X 8 Layers of Consciousness X 8 Intelligences. *International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering* 18 (1)
- [185] Drigas A, Karyotaki M 2019 Attention and its Role: Theories and Models. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning* 14 (12), 169-182
- [186] Drigas A, Karyotaki M 2019 Executive Functioning and Problem Solving: A Bidirectional Relation. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (iJEP)* 9 (3)
- [187] Bamicha V, Drigas A 2022 ToM & ASD: The interconnection of Theory of Mind with the social-emotional, cognitive development of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. The use of ICTs as an alternative ... *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 33, 42-72
- [188] Drigas A, Mitsea E, C Skianis 2022 Neuro-Linguistic Programming, Positive Psychology & VR in Special Education. *Scientific Electronic Archives* 15 (1)
- [189] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C. 2022 Virtual Reality and Metacognition Training Techniques for Learning Disabilities *SUSTAINABILITY* 14(16), 10170
- [190] Drigas A., Sideraki A. 2021 Emotional Intelligence in Autism *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 26, 80
- [191] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C.. 2022 Subliminal Training Techniques for Cognitive, Emotional and Behavioural Balance. The role of Emerging Technologies *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 33, 164-186

- [192] Bakola L, Drigas A, 2020 Technological development process of emotional Intelligence as a therapeutic recovery implement in children with ADHD and ASD comorbidity. . International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering, 16(3), 75-85
- [193] Bamicha V, Drigas A, 2022 The Evolutionary Course of Theory of Mind - Factors that facilitate or inhibit its operation & the role of ICTs Technium Social Sciences Journal 30, 138-158
- [194] Mitsea E, Drigas A., C Skianis 2022 Breathing, Attention & Consciousness in Sync: The role of Breathing Training, Metacognition & Virtual Reality Technium Social Sciences Journal 29, 79-97
- [195] Drigas A, Bakola L, 2021The 8x8 Layer Model Consciousness-Intelligence-Knowledge Pyramid, and the Platonic Perspectives International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (iJES) 9(2) 57-72
- [196] Karyotaki M, Drigas A, 2016 Online and Other ICT-based Training Tools for Problem-solving Skills. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning 11 (6)
- [197] Mitsea, E., & Drigas, A. (2019). A journey into the metacognitive learning strategies. International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering, 15(14). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v15i14.11379>
- [198] Mitsea E, Drigas A, Skianis C, 2022 ICTs and Speed Learning in Special Education: High-Consciousness Training Strategies for High-Capacity Learners through Metacognition Lens Technium Soc. Sci. J. 27, 230
- [199] Drigas A, Karyotaki M, Skianis C, 2017 Success: A 9 layered-based model of giftedness International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT 5(4) 4-18
- [200] A Drigas, E Mitsea, C Skianis Intermittent Oxygen Fasting and Digital Technologies: from Antistress and Hormones Regulation to Wellbeing, Bliss and Higher Mental States BioChemMed 3 (2), 55-73
- [201] A Drigas, E Mitsea, C Skianis Subliminal Training Techniques for Cognitive, Emotional and Behavioural Balance. The role of Emerging Technologies Technium Social Sciences Journal 33, 164-186
- [202] A Sideraki, A Drigas Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Autism Technium Social Sciences Journal 26, 262-277
- [203] E Mitsea, A Drigas, C Skianis Cutting-Edge Technologies in Breathwork for Learning Disabilities in Special Education Technium Social Sciences Journal 34, 136-157
- [204] A Stathopoulou, M Liouni, Y Salapata, A Drigas 2022 Emotional difficulties and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in children refugees & the role of ICTs: A case study in northern Greece borders Technium Social Sciences Journal 31, 213-227
- [205] V Bravou, AM Driga, A Drigas 2022 Emotion Regulation, the Function of Stress Hormones & Digital Technologies BioChemMed 3 (2), 27-34
- [206] T Vouglanis, AM Driga, A Drigas 2022 Charismatic Children: Heredity, Environment and ICTs Technium Sustainability 2 (5), 1-15
- [207] E Mitsea, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Mindfulness for Anxiety Management and Happiness: The Role of VR, Metacognition, and Hormones Technium BioChemMed 3 (3), 37-52